

JETFLOOR COST EFFECTIVENESS

Technical Datasheet



During the development of Jetfloor we engaged the services of independent cost consultants who made detailed cost comparisons between a range of structural ground floor solutions including:

- Suspended in situ concrete
- 150 beam and building block
- 175 beam and building block

Their findings clearly show that, based on similar 'U' value performance, new Jetfloor is the most cost-effective solution.

One of the key areas that helped make the difference was the overall floor thickness, particularly when compared to a 175mm deep beam and block solution.

The reduced floor thickness with Jetfloor will inevitably lead to cost savings through the reduction of dig and spoil removal costs.

When you add the inherent speed of installation and the robust edge details it is easy to see how new Jetfloor will give housebuilders and specifiers cost-effective certainty of compliance with the latest requirements of part L of the building regulations.

TESTIMONIAL

"We have extensive experience of housing schemes and helping to deliver value for our clients. We have applied our knowledge to review the new Jetfloor product. Certainly given typical build requirements and site conditions the Jetfloor system provides a good cost-effective solution with the benefit of reduced off site disposal. It would certainly be an option that we would advise our clients to consider on housing projects."

 **Turner & Townsend**

COMPARISON DIAGRAM

